संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण रिपोर्ट

| S. No. | Pariticular | Inspection Report by DFO (T.) | | |
|--------|--|---|--|------------------|
| 6 | Extent is hectares. | Sr.no. | Comp. No. | Area(h.) |
| 1 | | 1 | PF 62 | 44.20 |
| | | | PF Total | 44.20 |
| | | 2 | RF 320 | 6.60 |
| | | 3 | RF 321 | 4.50 |
| | | | RF Total | 11.10 |
| | | (| Grand Total | 55.30 |
| 2 | Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion. | According to attached list page No - 14 | | |
| 3 | Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land) | PF 62, (44.20 h.) RF 320,RF 321 (11.10 h.) | | |
| 4 | Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc. | Yes | | |
| 5 | Any signs of encroachment. | No | | |
| 6 | Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under. | No | | |
| 7 | Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc. | Site Quality -VB, Density 0.3 to 0.4 Composition – Mixed forest. | | |
| 8 | Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area. | Area has no importance from wildlife point of view. | | |
| 9 | Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area. | Not applicable. | | |
| 10 | Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why? | RDF | | |
| 11 | Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view. | Area has no importance from historical or religious point of view. | | |
| 12 | Any dependent persons/families on the land. | No persons/Families are dependent on the proposed area. | | |
| 13 | Any displacement of persons proposed. | | acement proposed. | |
| 14 | Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced? | As no per proposed rehabilita | ersons/families are d d area, therefore no a ation plan is applicanting voice. | resettlement and |
| 15 | Compensatory a forestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land. Location of the area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than kms. | Area is | satory a forestat on Non forest land suitable for CA and g in 01 Patch. | (Revenue land). |

| | 105 kms from Panna Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone. |
|---|---|
| Dependence of tribals in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in the area. | Rights of the tribals has been recognized. There are no tribals residing on the proposed area. |
| Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project. | Project is of great importance from national point of view and also for development of agricultural including generation of employment to those living in close vicinity of the project. |
| In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with. | It is an application for allocation of fresh forest land. |
| Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects. | Yes, alternatives are examined and proposed forest land is minimum and inevitable. |
| A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is | Certificate is obtained from the user agency. |
| Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted | |
| Any other issue of significance. | No |
| Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project. | To Provide better irrigation water in cultivable command area 950.00 ha. at Tehasil Jabera District. Damoh. Also is will provide water for Drinking. Hence recommended to divert 55.30 ha. Minimum forest land affected in dam submergence area for proposed construction of Parna Minor Tank irrigation project. |
| | Of the tribals have been recognized in the area. Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project. In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with. Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects. A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum. Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected. Any other issue of significance. Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons |

Date: - .31./.01./2018

Place:- Sagar

(Vikas Karan Verma)

(IFS)

Chief Conservator of Forest Sagar Circle Sagar